

REMARKS

The Examiner's Action of November 20, 2007, is noted, in which the time to respond to which is extended by petition and is now set to expire on February 1, 2008. The Examiner rejects Claims 1-5 and 9-12, and 17 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, for antecedent basis insufficiencies. Applicant has amended these Claims in accordance with the Examiner's suggestions.

This leaves the rejection of Claims 1, 16, 17 and 19 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by the '461 reference and Claims 1-3, 6, 11, 13 and 16-19 under 35 U.S.C. 102 as being anticipated by the '240 reference.

Moreover, Claims 4 and 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 as being unpatentable over the '240 reference in combination with another reference.

Applicants have amended the Claims to recite the fact as shown in Figure 1 that the motion detector indication is transmitted to a remote location. This is done by transmitter 44 utilizing antenna 46.

As the Examiner is undoubtedly aware, nowhere in the cited art is shown this type of remote indication. It is very important to be able to have this type of remote indication, because when the radar is placed next to the building, there may be an enemy inside the building who will want to attack the radar and those operating the radar.


On the other hand, by providing a remote operation, the safety of the monitoring individual is assured as they may be secreted.

Nowhere is this particular problem shown or taught in the references cited, much less the solution to the problem of protected troops, police or surveillance individuals while they are surveilling a particular building.

Moreover, the radar can, on occasion, be camouflaged or secreted such that its presence is not known to those who may be inside the building. People monitoring the output of the radar can be removed from the scene quite some distance and, therefore, be protected as well as not giving advance notice to those inside of the building if they are being surveilled.

In view of the inability of the cited references to show or teach the claimed subject matter, allowance of the Claims and issuance of the case is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,



Robert K. Tandler
Reg. No.: 24,581
65 Atlantic Avenue
Boston, MA 02110
Tel: (617) 723-7268

Date:

March 3, 2008